

Duality

- dual LP
- weak duality
- strong duality
- complementarity

Duality

Consider the constrained problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x_1, x_2}{\text{minimize}} & x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} & x_1 + x_2 = 1 \end{array}$$

and the unconstrained problem

$$\underset{x_1, x_2}{\text{minimize}} \quad \phi(x_1, x_2, y) \equiv x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y(1 - x_1 - x_2)$$

The scalar y is the “price” for violating the constraint $x_1 + x_2 = 1$.
What price y is enough to induce the optimal solution $x^* = (1/2, 1/2)$?

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - y = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2} = 2x_2 - y = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies x_1 = x_2 = \frac{y}{2} \implies y^* = 1$$

Dual function

primal problem: minimize $c^T x$ subj to $Ax = b, x \geq 0$

- n variables, m constraints
- optimal solution x^*
- optimal value $p^* \equiv c^T x^*$

relaxed problem: minimize $c^T x + y^T(b - Ax)$ subj to $x \geq 0$

- relaxed problem is a lower bound for p^* :
- $g(y) := \min_{x \geq 0} \{ c^T x + y^T(b - Ax) \} \leq c^T x^* + y^T(b - Ax^*) = c^T x^* = p^*$

tightest lower bound: find y that solves

$$\text{maximize}_y \quad g(y)$$

Dual of an LP

$$\begin{aligned}g(y) &= \min_{x \geq 0} \{ c^T x + y^T (b - Ax) \} \\ &= b^T y + \min_{x \geq 0} \{ x^T (c - A^T y) \} \\ &= \begin{cases} b^T y & \text{if } c - A^T y \geq 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Because we want to **maximize** $g(y)$, we must have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{y}{\text{maximize}} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & c - A^T y \geq 0 \end{array} \iff \begin{array}{ll} \underset{y,z}{\text{maximize}} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y + z = c \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

this is the **dual** LP

Weak duality

Suppose that x is primal feasible:

$$Ax = b, \quad x \geq 0$$

Suppose that (y, z) is dual feasible:

$$A^T y + z = c, \quad z \geq 0$$

Then the primal objective is bounded below by the dual objective:

$$c^T x = (A^T y + z)^T x = y^T Ax + z^T x = y^T b + \underbrace{z^T x}_{(\geq 0)} \geq y^T b$$

Weak-duality theorem: if (x, y, z) is primal/dual feasible, then

- the primal value is an upper bound for the dual value
- the dual value is a lower bound for the primal value

Complementarity

primal

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\text{minimize}} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

dual

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{y,z}{\text{maximize}} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y + z = c \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

By weak duality:

$$(\text{primal value}) \equiv c^T x = b^T y + z^T x \geq b^T y \equiv (\text{dual value})$$

This bound is “tight” when x and z are **complementary**, ie, $x^T z = 0$:

$$x_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_j \geq 0$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_j = 0$$

Optimality conditions

Simplex maintains **primal feasibility** at every iteration:

$$Ax = b, \quad x \geq 0$$

It defines y via $B^T y = c_B$ and $z = c - A^T y$, and maintains **complementarity**:

$$x_B \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_B = 0 \quad (\text{by construction})$$

$$x_N = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_N \begin{matrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{matrix} 0$$

Simplex exits when $z \geq 0$, ie, (y, z) is **dual feasible**, ie,

$$A^T y + z = c, \quad z \geq 0$$

Strong duality theorem: If an LP has an optimal solution, so does its dual, and the optimal values are equal, ie, $p^* = d^*$

Sufficient conditions

Suppose that (x, y, z) is primal/dual feasible.

By weak duality,

$$c^T x - b^T y = z^T x$$

By strong duality, if (x, y, z) is primal-dual optimal,

$$z^T x = 0$$

Conversely, if $z^T x = 0$, then

- $c^T x$ achieves its lower bound
- $b^T y$ achieves its upper bound

therefore, (x, y, z) is primal-dual optimal

Theorem: The primal-dual triple (x, y, z) is optimal iff

$$Ax = b, \quad x \geq 0, \quad A^T y + z = c, \quad z \geq 0, \quad x^T z = 0$$

Relationship between primal and dual LPs

	finite opt	unbounded	infeasible
finite opt	✓	✗	✗
unbounded	✗	✗	✓
infeasible	✗	✓	✓

Interpretation of dual variables

primal

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

dual

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y + z = c \\ & z \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Suppose that x^* is optimal and nondegenerate, then

$$x^* = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1}b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_B^*(\Delta b) = B^{-1}(b + \Delta b) > 0 \quad \text{for small } \|\Delta b\|$$

Reduced costs $z^* = c - A^T y^*$ doesn't change. Thus $(x^*(\|\Delta b\|), y^*, z^*)$ is primal/dual feasible